

17

Honorable Marc L. Barreca  
Hearing Date and Time:  
September 7, 2012, 9:30 a.m.  
Hearing Location:  
700 Stewart St., #7106  
Seattle, WA 98101  
Response Due:  
August 31, 2012  
Chapter 7

**FILED**  
Western District of Washington  
at Seattle  
**AUG 31 2012**  
MARK L. HATCHER, CLERK  
OF THE BANKRUPTCY COURT

THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

In Re

ADAM R. GROSSMAN

NO. 10-19817

STATEMENT IN RESPONSE TO  
OBJECTION TO CLAIM  
TANAGER FUND LP

STATEMENT IN RESPONSE TO  
OBJECTION TO CLAIM  
TANAGER FUND LP  
Page 1 Of 6

ADAM R. GROSSMAN  
5766 27<sup>TH</sup> AVE NE  
SEATTLE, WA 98105  
646-342-1994  
BK@ADAMREEDGROSSMAN.COM

1 This claim is valid. The Declaration "DECLARATION OF ADAM R. GROSSMAN VOLUME I OF  
2 X" dated August 28, 2012 is incorporated by reference.

3 1) Neither the Trustee nor the Estate has a lawful right to claim 100% interest in the Tanager  
4 Fund LP which is a Delaware limited liability partnership having over one dozen limited partners  
5 and scheduled to terminate in 2016. It is a partnership, so the interest is owned proportionately  
6 by the partners according to Delaware Statute and the partnership interest. The estate is an  
7 economic assignee of the partner interests of Adam Grossman and Jill Borodin as of the date of  
8 filing of the petition of bankruptcy on 8/19/2010. The children's modest accounts are held in trust  
9 and may not be transferred pursuant to California State law. I had submitted a claim on behalf of  
10 the Tanager Fund LP to protect its interests depending on how the estate recognized the  
11 fraudulent accounting used by the Superior Court as suggested by Jill Borodin directly and/or  
12 through her attorney.  
13

14 Despite having knowledge of the false and fraudulent nature of the accounting decreed by the  
15 Superior Court in error, the estate has continued to follow it with full knowledge of its false and  
16 fraudulent nature.  
17

18 As Debtor, I through my attorney Jeffery Wells submitted this claim having standing as  
19 Debtor.

20 2) Ms. Moewes and Mr. Wood on October, 19, 2011, did acknowledge in my presence that  
21 the funds from Mr. Opie and Mr. Zieve could be recognized as a constructive trust which they  
22 were then likely to recognize and did so at least once in writing as a way to proposed that the  
23 ownership of the Glennview property properly existed. Since that time, I do not believe any facts  
24 have changed regarding Mr. Opie and Mr. Zieve's funding of that property, but Ms. Moewes has  
25  
26

27 STATEMENT IN RESPONSE TO  
OBJECTION TO CLAIM  
TANAGER FUND LP  
Page 2 Of 6

ADAM R. GROSSMAN  
5766 27<sup>TH</sup> AVE NE  
SEATTLE, WA 98105  
646-342-1994  
BK@ADAMREEDGROSSMAN.COM

1 since decided that the acknowledgment she and Mr. Wood one made seems to have changed as  
2 a result of their need for money. I don't know why this should affect their assessment of the facts.

3 The decree of divorce which is void, being issued by a court lacking jurisdiction to issue such a  
4 decree is still under appeal with a discretionary review filed with the Supreme Court of  
5 Washington at the current time. At the same time, Judge Marc Barreca has signed orders stating  
6 that the bankruptcy court has jurisdiction over this property -- in contrast to Ms. Moewes reliance  
7 on erroneous state documents to the contrary.  
8

9 3) The escrow instructions delivered to the title company prior to May 20, 2010, included  
10 instructions to vest title in trust. I have recently learned that a copy of the trust document should  
11 exist on the mail server backups from the title company as of that date. In California, it is legal  
12 and the trust instrument does explicitly allow the holding of trust property in the name of the  
13 trustee with or without reference of the existence of the trust.  
14

15 The statement that the property was transferred post-petition into the trust is counter to the  
16 existence of the trust document on the title company servers on May 20, 2010.

17 As is typical, the financial statements for the partnership would not have been completed mid-  
18 year. They were typically completed at the end of the year.

19 Much of the confusion has arisen from the false and fraudulent representations made by Jill  
20 Borodin directly and through her attorney that funds of the marital community were used to  
21 purchase two houses in 2010 when funds of the marital community only existed to purchase one  
22 house, at most.  
23

24 Pursuant to Judge Barreca's comments, agreed in principle by the trustee's attorney, the  
25 limited partners took steps to prepare the financial statements in the spring of this year. In  
26 contrast to any of the possible accounting options I had previously suggested, the preferred  
27

STATEMENT IN RESPONSE TO  
OBJECTION TO CLAIM  
TANAGER FUND LP  
Page 3 Of 6

ADAM R. GROSSMAN  
5766 27<sup>TH</sup> AVE NE  
SEATTLE, WA 98105  
646-342-1994  
BK@ADAMREEDGROSSMAN.COM

1 accounting method to most closely reconcile with Ms. Borodin's fraudulent testimony was the  
2 inclusion of the Glennview Dr property as purchased jointly by the Tanager Fund LP and the  
3 marital community and the Montcrest property purchased entirely by the Ptarmigan Fund as a  
4 subsidiary of the Tanager Fund' LP. This has been fully disclosed to the court. The original  
5 accounting problem was fully disclosed in a full page of single-spaced 9-pt type in May, 2011, so  
6 there should be no new news that this discrepancy is a problem.  
7

8 The title to the Glenview property appears to have been quieted through a suit brought against  
9 the trust but generally trusts are not entities which can be sued in California, nor are they entities  
10 which can vest title in California, nor are they (therefore) entities against which title can be  
11 quieted. In addition, by statute in California matters of title must be determined through the  
12 weighing of evidence and not through a default judgment under which a party is automatically  
13 entitled to a new hearing for up to ten years. The number of days required for an ex-parte default  
14 judgment for a lawsuit against an entity incapable of being sued to quiet title against an entity  
15 incapable of vesting title was, in 2009, changed from 3 days to 7 days, yet the default judgment  
16 obtained was less than seven days notice. I am not an attorney but this sounds very complicated to  
17 me.  
18

19 4) The sworn testimony quoted by Ms. Moewes predates the defrauding of Tanager Fund  
20 investors by Jill Borodin which has resulted in a need to complete the books and balance them in  
21 a way that accounts for actions subsequent to the testimony cited by Ms. Moewes.  
22

23 5) I am not an attorney and cannot comment definitively on Ms. Moewes' statement. I do not  
24 seek to acquire any beneficial interest in this property.

25 6) Ms. Moewes has been provided substantial documentation to support the assertion that the  
26 Tanager Fund LP has 100% equitable interest in the Glennview Dr property. It will need to be

27 STATEMENT IN RESPONSE TO  
OBJECTION TO CLAIM  
TANAGER FUND LP  
Page 4 Of 6

ADAM R. GROSSMAN  
5766 27<sup>TH</sup> AVE NE  
SEATTLE, WA 98105  
646-342-1994  
BK@ADAMREEDGROSSMAN.COM

1 supplemented by the financial statements, SEC-required, GAAP-compliant, and CPA-prepared,  
2 which have not yet been completed to the best of my knowledge. Preliminary statements I have  
3 been requested to review and will likely be requested to sign under the requirements of Sarbanes-  
4 Oxley (which impose huge fines for signing false financial statements) will likely have sufficient  
5 documentation that is not substantially more than the documentation that Ms. Moewes now has.

6  
7 The claim amount of \$379,000 is based upon the arms-length transaction of a lease-option  
8 sale, then pending, which was voided by the bankruptcy court in favor of liquidating the property  
9 at a price now estimated to produce net proceeds of \$220,000. I have never understood the logic  
10 of voiding the sale at the higher price and have never understood how this was in the in the best  
11 interests and for the benefit of creditors. Such "business judgment" appears to have caused  
12 \$159,000 in value to disappear that would otherwise have been available for the benefit of  
13 creditors. This has never made sense to me.

14  
15 For these reasons, I believe the claim is valid and will be upheld by the incoming trustee if the  
16 estate is not settled by then.

17  
18 Dated August 31, 2012, signed in Seattle, WA.

19 s/Adam R. Grossman/  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

27 STATEMENT IN RESPONSE TO  
OBJECTION TO CLAIM  
TANAGER FUND LP  
Page 5 Of 6

ADAM R. GROSSMAN  
5766 27<sup>TH</sup> AVE NE  
SEATTLE, WA 98105  
646-342-1994  
BK@ADAMREEDGROSSMAN.COM

1 [Re: Montcrest, Dr: notice that the grant deed shown in Ms. Moewes declaration on p. 59 is  
2 dated February 24, 2010, and appears to have been signed by Fannie Mac on February 24, 2010.  
3 However, the funds transfer did not occur until March, the escrow instructions were not sent until  
4 March, the PCOR was not filled out until March and shows "Adam R. Grossman, Trustee", the  
5 Settlement Statement reads, "Adam R. Grossman, Trustee", title insurance was made to "Adam R.  
6 Grossman, Trustee"; and the grant deed shown on p. 59 was an earlier draft and not the deed  
7 recorded at the Recorder's Office. Apparently, the title company was unwilling or unable to  
8 obtain a more recent signature from Fannie Mac.]  
9

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 STATEMENT IN RESPONSE TO  
OBJECTION TO CLAIM  
TANAGER FUND LP  
Page 6 Of 6

ADAM R. GROSSMAN  
5766 27<sup>TH</sup> AVE NE  
SEATTLE, WA 98105  
646-342-1994  
BK@ADAMREEDGROSSMAN.COM

RECEIVED

2012 AUG 31 PM 11:57

M.L. HATCHER, CLK  
U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT  
W.D. OF WA AT SEATTLE

BY \_\_\_\_\_ DEB CLK.